



ARTICLE

PATIENTS AS STAKEHOLDERS, NOT SUBJECTS

Reframing participation in research as an ongoing partnership.

THE END OF THE SUBJECT

The term “research subject” belongs to another era – one in which knowledge was extracted from patients rather than built with them. That language implied hierarchy: the investigator as actor, the patient as object. Even the ethics of that age, however sincere, were paternalistic – designed to protect the subject from harm, not to include them in governance.

In the 21st century, that model is untenable. Digital medicine depends on continuous data contribution, not episodic participation. Patients are no longer studied once; they are studied always. If that permanence is to be just, participation must become **stakeholding**.

THE MEANING OF STAKEHOLDING

A stakeholder is not merely protected – they are *invested*. They have standing in decisions, transparency into outcomes, and a legitimate claim to benefit. In data terms, stakeholding means:

- **Visibility:** the ability to see how one’s data is used;
- **Agency:** the power to modify or revoke permissions;
- **Equity:** fair recognition and potential participation in value creation;
- **Reciprocity:** access to findings or benefits derived from their contribution.

Stewardship converts these principles from moral theory into enforceable rights.

THE ECONOMICS OF PARTICIPATION

Traditional research treated patients as suppliers of data. Federated systems recognize them as *partners in the data economy*. Every high-quality, verifiable contribution increases the collective intelligence of the network; without it, the system has no legitimacy.

Circle Datasets formalize that relationship. Patients contribute data locally through Benchmarc™ interfaces, which record consent and context. Their contributions remain traceable and revocable, yet participate in a federated model that drives both scientific and societal return. The patient becomes a shareholder in the integrity of science.

FROM EXTRACTION TO RECIPROCITY

The moral pivot is subtle but profound: from **extraction** to **exchange**. Research no longer “uses” data; it **borrow**s it under explicit conditions. The return is not only knowledge but transparency – patients see where and how their contribution shapes discovery.

Federated architectures make this reciprocity possible by preserving local control while harmonizing governance globally. Patients can remain within the protective boundary of their institution and still participate in international research.

Stakeholding is inclusion without exposure.

TRUST THROUGH PARTICIPATION

Trust cannot be written into privacy policies; it must be built through interaction. Federated stewardship allows patients to participate safely in a living system that demonstrates, rather than declares, its ethics.

When participants can see the life of their data – when they can witness compliance instead of being told to believe in it – skepticism transforms into investment. That sense of visible agency is the emotional architecture of trust.

The result is not just consent but confidence.

MORAL EQUITY IN THE DATA ECONOMY

Stakeholding also implies moral equity – the idea that those who enable discovery should not be excluded from its value. This does not necessarily mean financial compensation; it can mean access to aggregated insights, early warnings, or improved standards of care.

Circle Datasets can facilitate this reciprocity through *transparent benefit pathways*: systems that trace downstream usage and ensure that contributing communities share proportionally in resulting innovations.

Ethical fairness becomes calculable.

GOVERNANCE AS CITIZENSHIP

Stakeholding elevates participation from transaction to citizenship. Patients become part of the governance of science itself – influencing protocol design, oversight boards, and feedback mechanisms.

Federated frameworks are uniquely suited to this democratic structure: they allow distributed representation without requiring centralized control. Every patient community can have a voice without surrendering its autonomy.

In this model, medicine ceases to be something done *to* people and becomes something done *with* them.

THE MORAL OUTCOME

When patients are treated as subjects, research extracts information; when they are treated as stakeholders, research generates trust. Stakeholding is not a gesture of inclusion; it is the precondition of legitimacy. Federation fulfills the original moral promise of medicine: that participation in discovery should never require the surrender of dignity. In this new compact, data is not a commodity but a covenant – a shared endeavor to make truth both safer and more human.

SELECTED REFERENCES

- RegenMed (2025). *Circle Datasets Meet the Challenges of Federated Healthcare Data Capture*. White Paper.
- Manson, N. C., O'Neill, O. (2021). *Rethinking Informed Consent in the Digital Age*. *Journal of Medical Ethics*.
- OECD (2024). *Patient Agency and Participation in Data Governance*.
- Jonas, H. (1984). *The Imperative of Responsibility*. University of Chicago Press.

GET INVOLVED OR LEARN MORE – CONTACT US TODAY!

If you are interested in contributing to this important initiative or learning more about how you can be involved, please [contact us](#)*,

 [RegenMed | www.rgnmed.com](https://www.rgnmed.com)

 circles@rgnmed.com



*If the links do not work for you, please download the PDF.