RegenMed

ARTICLE

DIGITAL THERAPEUTICS AS A REGULATORY SANDBOX: THE TEMPO ADVANTAGE FOR PAYERS

www.rgnmed.com | circles@rgnmed.com

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: BRIDGING THE AUTHORIZATION-REIMBURSEMENT CHASM

In the 2026 healthcare landscape, the primary obstacle to the adoption of Digital Therapeutics (DTx) is no longer a lack of technological innovation, but a structural "lag" in the generation of high-fidelity evidence required for payer coverage [1, 2]. Historically, DTx manufacturers have been caught between the rigors of traditional randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and the immediate need for market access. The **FDA Technology-Enabled Meaningful Patient Outcomes (TEMPO)** pilot, launched in late 2025 and operationalized in early 2026, represents a fundamental shift: the "Regulatory Sandbox" [2, 3]. For healthcare payers—including commercial insurers and self-insured employers — the TEMPO advantage lies in the ability to evaluate pre-authorized digital tools within a controlled environment, generating the real-world evidence (RWE) necessary to prove clinical utility and cost-effectiveness before full market scale [2, 4].

THE DTX DILEMMA: WHY TRADITIONAL HTA FRAMEWORKS FAIL

Traditional Health Technology Assessment (HTA) and payer evaluation frameworks were designed for "static" medical devices and pharmaceuticals [5, 6]. DTx, characterized by rapid iteration and behavioral components, often fails to fit these legacy models for several reasons:

- **The Evidence Hierarchy Gap:** Payers typically demand multiple RCTs as the "gold standard" for evidence. However, many DTx products rely on "sham" controls that are difficult to design and often lack the longitudinal RWE needed to demonstrate a sustained reduction in the Total Cost of Care (TCOC) [5].

- **The Site-of-Care Blind Spot:** Unlike hospital-based interventions, DTx operates in the patient's daily environment. Traditional claims data serves as a poor proxy for engagement and physiological impact in the home [5, 7].

- **Sustainability and Adoption Barriers:** High-profile failures of early DTx leaders have increased payer skepticism regarding the long-term ROI and patient adherence of these tools [5, 8].

THE MECHANICS OF THE TEMPO SANDBOX

TEMPO utilizes a "risk-based enforcement" approach to allow U.S.- based manufacturers to deploy digital health devices in clinical settings before obtaining final 510(k) or De Novo marketing authorization [2, 9].

Enforcement Discretion as an Evaluative Tool

The FDA exercises enforcement discretion for certain premarket and investigational device requirements, provided the device is used under clinician supervision [2, 3]. This "safe space" allows manufacturers to offer devices to participants in the **CMS ACCESS** model, creating a coordinated environment where clinical performance and reimbursement can be tested simultaneously [2, 10].

The TAP Influence: Sprint Discussions

TEMPO adopts the successful framework of the **Total Product Life Cycle Advisory Program (TAP)** [11, 12].

- **Iterative Sprints:** Rather than waiting for a single year-end review, the FDA and manufacturers engage in "sprint" discussions — focused interactions aimed at reaching agreement on clinical endpoints and data analysis within a 45-day window [2, 11].

- **Early Multi-Stakeholder Input:** TAP advisors facilitate early engagement between manufacturers, clinicians, and payers to ensure that the data being collected in the sandbox meets the "Insurable Integrity" standards of the 2026 market [11, 12].

PAYER ADVANTAGE 1: DE-RISKING EARLY ADOPTION THROUGH RWE

The most significant benefit for payers is the shift from "speculative coverage" to "evidence-based valuation" [4, 13].

- **High-Fidelity RWE:** Participants in the TEMPO pilot must collect and share real-world data (RWD) on device performance [2, 14]. This data—covering adherence, symptom reporting, and physiological markers — provides payers with a "ground truth" record that far exceeds the granularity of administrative claims [1, 5].

- **Evaluating "Substitute Spend":** By tracking patients in a TEMPO/ACCESS integrated track, payers can objectively measure whether a digital therapeutic for MSK or CKM syndrome actually reduces "Substitute Spend" (e.g., unnecessary ER visits or premature surgeries) [1, 10].

- **Safety and Cyber Guardrails:** The sandbox approach does not bypass safety. TEMPO requires robust risk mitigation plans, cybersecurity standards, and clear patient communication, ensuring that payers do not expose their members to unvetted clinical risks [2, 9].

PAYER ADVANTAGE 2: OPERATIONAL SYNERGY WITH CMS ACCESS

TEMPO is intentionally aligned with the four clinical tracks of the CMS ACCESS model: Early Cardio-Kidney-Metabolic (eCKM), CKM, Chronic Musculoskeletal (MSK) pain, and Behavioral Health [2, 10].

Clinical Track	Clinical Opportunity for Payers	Strategic Advantage
eCKM / CKM	Managing prediabetes and heart failure via wearables.	Prevents progression to high-cost end-stage renal disease (ESRD). [2, 15]
MSK	Using sensor-based rehab to justify surgical delay.	Significant reduction in "low-value" surgical bundle payouts. [2, 16]
Behavioral Health	Digital CBT and AI-enabled symptom monitoring.	Closes the "access gap" in rural areas while tracking PHQ-9 targets. [2, 17]

By leveraging these tracks, commercial payers can align their own value-based contracts with federal standards, creating a "common language" of clinical veracity [10, 18].

THE ACTUARIAL SHIFT: FROM BENCHMARKS TO BASELINES

In the 2026 Veracity Mandate, actuarial modeling is evolving. Payers can use TEMPO-derived data to move from broad population benchmarks to individualized "baseline" tracking [1, 13].

- **Outcome Attainment Rates (OAR):** Success is measured by the percentage of a panel that meets clinical targets relative to their own starting point [1, 10].
- **Explainable AI (XAI):** As AI-enabled devices enter the sandbox, payers must demand explainability in the underlying algorithms to ensure that clinical decisions are defensible and free from bias [9, 17].

STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PAYER EXECUTIVES

- **Utilize TEMPO for Formulary Defense:** Before granting broad coverage to a new DTx, require that it be evaluated within a TEMPO-aligned pilot to prove functional recovery and cost-savings [5, 10].
- **Incentivize Circle Datasets:** Encourage providers to use "Circle" frameworks—integrated datasets that capture the clinical signal directly — to provide the RWE required for OAP reconciliation [4, 13].
- **Participate in TAP Engagements:** Engage with the FDA TAP advisors early in the device development cycle to define the specific clinical endpoints that will trigger "insurable" reimbursement [11, 12].
- **Audit for Clinical Veracity:** Move away from paying for "engagement" (PEPM) and toward paying for "attainment" (OAP). Use the sandbox data to verify that clinical results were actually achieved [1, 10].

CONCLUSION

The TEMPO pilot represents a rare alignment of federal regulatory speed and clinical rigor [2, 3]. For payers, it is the ultimate "evaluative sandbox": a controlled environment where the value of innovation can be proven through high-veracity real-world evidence rather than speculative marketing [4, 14]. By embracing the TEMPO advantage, healthcare leaders can de-risk their digital health portfolios, secure their margins from "Substitute Spend" leakage, and provide their members with the most effective, tech-enabled care available in the 2026 economy [2, 10].

SOURCES

1. ACCESS Technical Frequently Asked Questions - CMS
2. FDA Launches TEMPO: A First-of-Its-Kind Digital Health Pilot | FDA
3. TEMPO pilot enrollment begins: The race for digital health access is on - McDermott Will & Schulte
4. Real-world Evidence (RWE) Solutions Market 2030 | Research Report, Size, Share, Growth, Trends, And Forecast
5. Payer Perspectives on Prescription Digital Therapeutics for Psychiatric Disorders - AJMC
6. Policymaker & Payor DTx Evaluation Toolkit - Digital Therapeutics Alliance
7. Value of DTx / Payors - Digital Therapeutics Alliance
8. Insights from the 2025 Physician Sentiment Survey - Athenahealth
9. 24 Hour Summary of the Digital Health Advisory Committee - November 6, 2025 - FDA
10. CMS and FDA Announce Initiatives to Expand Access to Digital Health Technologies - Orrick
11. Total Product Life Cycle Advisory Program (TAP) - FDA
12. TAP Overview - FDA
13. The use of real-world evidence among healthcare payers: a scoping review | IJTAHC - Cambridge University Press
14. Technology-Enabled Meaningful Patient Outcomes (TEMPO) for Digital Health Devices Pilot | FDA (PDF)
15. Medicare ACCESS Model to Align Chronic Care Payments with Patient Outcomes - Moss Adams
16. Digital Versus In-Person Physical Therapy in Adults With Musculoskeletal Conditions: Retrospective Matched-Cohort Analysis - JMIR
17. Saul Ewing 2026 Predictions and Prognostications for the Health Care Delivery System
18. ASTP/ONC's Year-End Moves Mark a Strategic Pivot in Federal Health IT Policy

GET INVOLVED OR LEARN MORE — CONTACT US TODAY!

If you are interested in contributing to this important initiative or learning more about how you can be involved, please contact us*:

RegenMed | www.rgnmed.com

circles@rgnmed.com

SCAN ME

*If the links do not work for you, please download the PDF.